# Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Shale Gas Extraction in Scotland

**Clare Bond, University of Aberdeen** 



Life-Cycle Assessment of Unconventional Gas



Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Unconventional Gas in Scotland

Main Report, August 2014

Bond C.E.<sup>1</sup>, Roberts J.<sup>2</sup>, Hastings A.<sup>3</sup>, Shipton Z.K.<sup>2</sup>, João E.M.<sup>2</sup>, Tabyldy Kyzy J.<sup>1</sup>, Stephenson M.<sup>4</sup>

1. University of Aberdeen, School of Geosciences Science

2. University of Strathclyde, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering 3. University of Aberdeen, School of Biological Science

4. British Geological Survey

Citation

Bond C.E., Roberts J., Hastings A., Shipton Z.K., João E.M., Tabyldy Kyzy J., Stephenson M. 2014 Lifecycle assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from unconventional gas in Scotland. A ClimateXChange Report, Scotland, http://www.climatexchange.org.uk/reducing-emissions/understanding-potential-climate-impact-

unconventional-gas-extraction-scotland

#### Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Unconventional Gas Extraction in Scotland

Bond C.E.<sup>1</sup>, Roberts J.<sup>2</sup>, Hastings A.<sup>1</sup>, Shipton Z.K.<sup>2</sup>, João E.M.<sup>2</sup>, Tabyldy Kyzy J.<sup>1</sup>, Stephenson M.<sup>3</sup>

1. University of Aberdeen; 2. University of Strathclyde; 3. British Geological Survey

### **Available online:**

http://www.climatexchange.org.uk



9

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

	Life-Cycle Assessment of Unconventional Gas
climate change	Scatland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy
Life-Cycle Assessment of Green Unconventional Gas in Scotland	house Gas Emissions from
Main Report, August 2014	
Bond C.E. <sup>1</sup> , Roberts J. <sup>2</sup> , Hastings A. <sup>1</sup> , 5 Stephenson M. <sup>4</sup>	Nipton Z.K. <sup>2</sup> , João E.M. <sup>2</sup> , Tabyldy Kyry J. <sup>1</sup> ,
<ol> <li>University of Aberdeen, School of Ge</li> <li>University of Strathclyde, Departmer</li> <li>University of Aberdeen, School of Bi</li> <li>British Geological Survey</li> </ol>	rosciences Science st of Civil and Environmental Engineering ological Science
Cita Bond C.E., Roberts J., Hastings A., Shipton Z.K., Jeão cycle assessment of greenhouse gas emissions frem Report, Scotland, http://www.climatexchange.org.uk/reducing-emiss unconventional-gas-estraction-scotland	toon E.M., Tabyldy Kyry J., Stephenson M. 2014 L/Re- unconventional gas in Soztand. A ClimateKChange Ions/understanding-potential-climate-impact-
9	

### **Commissioned report**

# SEPA (2012) regulatory guidance on CBM & shale gas identified:

A lack of real field data on greenhouse gas emissions
Different assertions of fugitive emissions of methane
Climate impact of unconventional gas = uncertain.

#### Requested this (desk-based) LCA in order to-

Establish the current information base

 Assess how much the potential GHG emissions may vary due to Scottish context and different techniques and practices

Identify where regulation could significantly lower emissions

![](_page_2_Picture_9.jpeg)

Life-Cycle Assessment of Unconventional Gas

climate change Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

Life-Cycle Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Unconventional Gas in Scotland

Main Report, August 2014

Bond C.E.<sup>1</sup>, Roberts J.<sup>2</sup>, Hastings A.<sup>3</sup>, Shipton Z.K.<sup>2</sup>, João E.M.<sup>2</sup>, Tabyldy Kyzy J.<sup>1</sup>, Stephenson M.<sup>4</sup>

1. University of Aberdeen, School of Geosciences Science

University of Strathclyde, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
 University of Aberdeen, School of Biological Science
 British Geological Survey

Citation

Bond C.E., Roberts J., Hastings A., Shipton Z.K., João E.M., Tabyldy Kyzy J., Stephenson M. 2014 Lifecycle assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from unconventional gas in Scotland. A ClimateXChange Report, Scotland, http://www.climatexchange.org.uk/reducing-emissions/understanding-potential-climate-impactunconventional-gas-extraction-scotland

9

climate X cl

XXX

Department of Energy & Climate Change

Potential Greenhouse Gas Emissions Associated with Shale Gas Extraction and Use

Professor David J C MacKay FRS Dr Timothy J Stone CBE

9th September 2013

clare.bond@abdn.ac.uk

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# The Scottish Shale Resource: Gas and Oil

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **BGS-DECC 2014 reports**

#### **Midland Valley - Scotland**

- •Shale gas estimate: 49.4 134.6 tcf
- •Shale oil estimate: 3.2 11.2 bbl

#### **Bowland Shale – England**

•Shale gas estimate: 822 - 2281 tcf

#### Weald Basin – England

•Shale oil estimate: 2.20 - 8.57 bbl

Source: Scottish Government, 2014 Report on Unconventional Oil And Gas (Independent Expert Scientific Panel)

![](_page_4_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Life Cycle Assessment of Shale Gas: Boundaries

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# **Previous LCAs: Comparison of results**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: AEA (2012) Climate impact of potential shale gas production in the EU

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# **Previous LCAs: Comparison of results**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: AEA (2012) Climate impact of potential shale gas production in the EU

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# **Previous LCAs: Pre-production total**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: AEA (2012) Climate impact of potential shale gas production in the EU

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Scottish Context:**

Important difference between potential shale gas practices in Scotland (UK/EU) and practices in the US due to differences in:

- Regulations
- Shale geology
- Socio-political context
- Infrastructure
- Current land-use

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

Stage Example activities and potential GHG emission sources		Example activities and potential GHG emission sources
1	Non-intrusive exploration	<ul> <li>Securing of necessary development and operation permits.</li> <li>Site identification, selection, characterisation</li> <li>Exploration surveys (seismic etc)</li> </ul>
2	Intrusive exploration	<ul> <li>Establishing baseline conditions (geochemical, microseismic)</li> <li>Land preparation (land use change)</li> <li>Access road construction</li> <li>Equipment transportation (including water)</li> <li>Exploration well pad construction</li> <li>Exploration drilling: vertical well design and construction.</li> </ul>
3	Appraisal	<ul> <li>Appraisal anning: nonzontal well design and construction.</li> <li>Logging, and well testing</li> <li>Hydraulic fracturing (including flaring) for shale gas.</li> <li>Well completion</li> <li>Dewatering (for CBM)</li> <li>Flow testing, and gas (&amp; oil) production (and processing)</li> <li>Disposal of construction and drilling wastes, and water treatment.</li> </ul>
4	Production development	<ul> <li>Monitoring baseline conditions (e.g. geochemical, microseismic)</li> <li>Land preparation (land use change)</li> <li>Construction of road and pipeline connections</li> <li>Equipment transportation</li> <li>Development well pad and facility construction and installation.</li> <li>Well design construction and completion</li> <li>Disposal of construction and drilling wastes</li> <li>Water treatment (or recycling)</li> </ul>
5	Production operation and maintenance	<ul> <li>Gas/oil production and processing</li> <li>Well work-overs and integrity testing</li> <li>Environmental monitoring</li> </ul>
6	Well plugging and abandonment	<ul> <li>Well plugging and testing</li> <li>Site equipment removal</li> <li>Pre-relinquishment survey and inspection</li> <li>Site restoration and reclamation.</li> <li>Environmental monitoring</li> </ul>

#### **Direct emissions**

**Direct release of produced gas** to atmosphere (from controlled venting or venting of fugitive emissions, i.e. leaks)

**Combustion of produced gas** as part of controlled flaring or to power onsite machinery

**Combustion of other fuels** to power onsite machinery or to transport equipment and materials to and from the site.

#### **Indirect emissions**

Land clearance to build well pads/roads

Electricity consumption to power the site

**Emissions embedded** in the sourcing of **purchased materials** and outsourced activities (such as waste treatment and disposal).

# Shale gas extraction: Scotland's regulatory context

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

Above: USA

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

Above: UK; Source: Cuadrilla

### **EU/UK/Scotland regulation requires:**

No open pits for flowback fluids. Best Available
 Technology (BAT) to be adopted e.g. reduced
 emission completion.

• Venting **only** in emergencies. Proven borehole integrity (construction and monitoring).

- Full disclosure of drilling and fracking chemicals etc.
- Minimal environmental impact from development.
- Permits activities based.

#### Methane venting vs flaring

Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CH<sub>4</sub> = **36** *New IPCC standard for 100 yr timespan. Revised in 2013 for AR5 (previously 25)* 

Vent >> Flare > Capture

![](_page_11_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **The Scottish Shale Resource**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Scottish Government, 2014 Report on Unconventional Oil And Gas (Independent Expert Scientific Panel)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# LCA Shale Gas in Scotland: Shale rocks

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Scottish Government, 2014 Report on Unconventional Oil And Gas (Independent Expert Scientific Panel)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# LCA Shale Gas in Scotland: Socio-political context

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Source: Ecowatch

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Compared to the US/Canada/AUS, Scotland has:**

• Dense infrastructure (in Midland Valley), reduces the transport distances of materials.

Gas and water pipeline connections.

Less land clearance for e.g. new roads

 Different social factors e.g. population density and social license to operate.

Pad density likely to be lower.

Source: DECC

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

# LCA Shale Gas in Scotland: Land use

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

## => Used published data from LCAs

# => Where possible adapted to Scottish context

• Average shale depth of 2.4 km.

 100% capture & flare, or 100% capture for potential emissions from well cleanup.

- Adopt Mackay & Stone (2013) EUR scenarios per well: 2, 3, 5 bcf per well.
- Adopt Mackay & Stone (2013) assumption of 10 well pad, one horizon, 15,000 m<sup>3</sup> water to frack.
- Water treated off site, use **Defra** values for water treatment.

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

# LCA Shale Gas in Scotland: Results

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Land use change max = 11.4

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

# LCA Shale Gas in Scotland: Results

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy

### **Overall**

The life-cycle GHG emissions per unit of energy from shale gas extraction in Scotland are likely to be equivalent to those of conventional gas extraction in Europe if best practice is followed and building on peat is avoided.

This is dependent on the total quantity of gas recovered from extraction operations.

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Implications and recommendations

#### Land use change

• Minimise the area of developed land (incl. roads and pipelines - utilise existing where appropriate, or e.g. polyplastic unburied water pipeline).

- Maximise the number of boreholes at each well pad
- Avoid exploration and development on peatland

#### **Fugitive emissions**

- We need an **inventory** since emissions are very uncertain.
- Improved performance of valves, pumps, compressors (improved BATs)
- Implement Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) programmes for rapid remediation of leaks

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Implications and recommendations

#### Methane emissions during well completion

- Ensure that BATs are applied and continue to improve BATs to minimise emissions.
- Utilise captured methane rather than flare.

#### **Other options**

• **Recycle** water and materials (e.g. drill mud, water, and proppant) where possibleMinimise emissions where health and safety and social penalties of doing so are minor

- Power sites by produced or captured natural gas rather than diesel.
- Centralised processing facility gas from several well pads to minimise infrastructure.

#### But there are large uncertainties

Improved life-cycle emissions estimates can be made with improved resource assessment, improved understanding of fugitive emissions and real data.

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Scottish Government Independent Expert Scientific Panel on Unconventional Oil And Gas

*"mitigating* a potential or realised *impact* depends on strong and visionary *environmental, and health and safety, regulators* to enforce legislation and *identify and respond rapidly to gaps that may emerge."* 

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

Scotland's centre of expertise connecting climate change research and policy